

## POPULATION DYNAMICS OF INSECT PESTS OF COTTON AND THEIR NATURAL ENIMIES

SANA ASHFAQ\*, IMTIAZ ALI KHAN\*, MUHAMMAD SAEED\*\*\*, AHMAD UR RAHMAN SALJOQI\*\*,  
FARKHANDA MANZOOR\*\*\*\*, KAMRAN SOHAIL\*, KOMAL HABIB\* and AMNA SADOZAI\*\*\*\*\*

\* Department of Entomology, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agricultural University Peshawar – Pakistan.

\*\* Department of Plant Protection, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agricultural University Peshawar – Pakistan.

\*\*\* Department of Entomology, Hazara University, Mansehra – Pakistan.

\*\*\*\* Department of Zoology, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore – Pakistan.

\*\*\*\*\* Department of Entomology, Agriculture Research Institute, Tarnab, Peshawar – Pakistan.

### ABSTRACT

The present research work aimed to study the population dynamics of different insect pests of cotton and their natural enemies at the Research Farm of Agricultural University, Peshawar, Pakistan during 2009. Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius); aphids, *Aphis gossypii* (Glover); leafhopper, *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* (Ishida); leaf beetle, *Ceratomyza trifurcata* (Forster); red cotton bug, *Dyesdercus koenigii* (Fabricius) were the major insect pests while ladybird, beetle *Coccinella septempunctata* (Linnaeus); spider, *Dictyna* sp. (Linnaeus) and ants, *Solenopsis invicta* (Buren) were the natural enemies recorded on cotton. Densities of insect pests and natural enemies peaked from June to October. Highest density of 5.78 *tabaci* leaf<sup>-1</sup> was recorded on 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2.61 *gossypii* leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 20<sup>th</sup> June, 6.56 *biguttula biguttula* leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 10<sup>th</sup> August, 0.89 *trifurcata* leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 30<sup>th</sup> August and 1.58 *koenigii* leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 9<sup>th</sup> October. The highest density of 1.42 *C. septempunctata* leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 10<sup>th</sup> August, 0.56 *Dictyna* sp. leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 19<sup>th</sup> September and 1.22 *invicta* leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 20<sup>th</sup> June were recorded. The pest and natural enemies completely disappeared after mid-October.

**Key Words:** Insect pests, natural enemies, cotton, population dynamics

**Citation:** Ashfaq, S., I.A. Khan, A.U.R. Saljoqi, S. Ahmad, F. Manzoor, K. Sohail, K. Habib and A. Sadozai. 2011. Population dynamics of insect pests of cotton and their natural enemies. Sarhad J. Agric. 27(2): 251-253

### INTRODUCTION

Cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* L. (Family Malvaceae), is one of the most commercially important fiber crops in the world. It is a perennial semi-shrub grown as an annual crop in both tropical and warm temperate regions. In addition to textile manufacturing, it produces seeds with a potential multi product base such as hulls, oil, lint and food for animals (Ozyigit *et al.* 2007).

In Pakistan, cotton was grown on 3054.3 thousand ha in 2007-2008 with an average production of 649 kg/ha. In Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa, it was grown in 2007-2008 on 0.2 thousand ha that yielded on average 425 kg/ha (MINFAL, 2008).

Production of cotton is limited by various factors among which insect pests are also important. The key insect pests of cotton are termite, *Microtermes obesi*; cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon*; thrips, *Thrips tabaci*; jassid, *Amrasca biguttula biguttula*; whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*; aphid, *Aphis gossypii*; leaf-roller, *Sylepta derogate*; red cotton bug, *Dyesdercus koenigii*; mite, *Tetranychus macfarlanei*; grey weevil, *Mylocherus undecimpustuleus maculosus*; spotted bollworm, *Earias insulana*; pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* and American bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Dhaka and Pareek, 2007). In cotton, the insect pests infestation caused deterioration in lint quality and 10–40% losses in crop production (Gahukar, 2006).

*Chelonus blackburni* Cameron, *Trichogramma achaeae* Nagraja, *T. brasiliensis* (Ashmead), *Chrysoperla carnea* Stephens, *Coccinella septempunctata* Linnaeus, *Menochilus sexmaculatus* Fabricius and spiders have been observed as potential natural enemies of key pests of cotton and play an important role in the cotton ecosystem (Dhaka and Pareek, 2007). Keeping in view the importance of the crop and losses caused by different insect pests to it, the present research was aimed at studying population dynamics of insect pests and their natural enemies on cotton.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments on population dynamics of insect pests on cotton and their natural enemies were conducted at the Research Farm of KPK Agricultural University, Peshawar during 2009. For the experiment, Cotton variety CM473, was sown on May 15, 2009 in plot, measuring 34 x 54 m<sup>2</sup>. Cotton was sown @ 7/hole at soil depth of 2.5 cm. Plant to plant and row to row distances were kept at 45 cm and 90 cm, respectively. Normal agronomic practices were applied throughout the growing period of the crop. No pesticides were applied to the crop.

Density of insect pests and their natural enemies was recorded on ten days interval on randomly selected leaves from top, middle and bottom of the 36 plants. For counting the insect pests and their natural

enemies, the leaf was gently held at the petiole by thumb and fore finger and turned until the entire underside of leaf was clearly visible. Data were recorded at morning hours (8-10 am) because at that time winged pests were sluggish and easily counted. The insects were recorded from 3<sup>rd</sup> week of May till 2<sup>nd</sup> week of October. The data recorded was subjected to mean values of individual leaf<sup>-1</sup> for ten days interval.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the experiment on population dynamics of insect pests and their natural enemies on cotton are reported and discussed as under:

### Population Dynamics of Insect Pests of Cotton

Density of *tabaci* on cotton on 20<sup>th</sup> June was 0.19 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup> (Table I). Its density gradually increased in the subsequent months and peaked on 10<sup>th</sup> August to a highest of 5.78 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>. Density of the pest gradually declined in the subsequent weeks and reached to a minimum number of 0.53 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 9<sup>th</sup> October. The density of *gossypii* was highest (2.61 leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 20<sup>th</sup> June but abruptly declined in the subsequent two weeks. The pest disappeared from 20<sup>th</sup> July to 9<sup>th</sup> Sept. The pest appeared again on 19<sup>th</sup> Sep. but its density remained lower. Density of *biguttula* remained minimum from 20<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> June, but increased abruptly in the subsequent weeks and peaked (6.56 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 10<sup>th</sup> August. Density of the pest declined and fluctuated till the end of the cropping season. *trifurcate* appeared on 10<sup>th</sup> August and its density abruptly increased to 0.86 leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 20<sup>th</sup> August. Its density peaked to 0.89 leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 30<sup>th</sup> August. *Trifurcate* disappeared in the following week but reappeared and fluctuated in the subsequent weeks. *koenigii* appeared on cotton late in the season on 09<sup>th</sup> September. Its density gradually increased and peaked (1.58 leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 9<sup>th</sup> October.

**Table-I Mean density of insect pests/leaf on cotton during 2009.**

Insect pests	20 <sup>th</sup>	30 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	20 <sup>th</sup>	30 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	20 <sup>th</sup>	30 <sup>th</sup>	9 <sup>th</sup>	19 <sup>th</sup>	29 <sup>th</sup>	9 <sup>th</sup>
	June	June	July	July	July	Aug	Aug	Aug	Sep	Sep	Sep	Oct
<i>B. tabaci</i>	0.19	0.28	1.03	1.75	2.31	5.78	3.00	1.67	1.53	0.92	0.97	0.53
<i>A. gossypii</i>	2.61	1.31	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.64	0.42
<i>A. biguttula</i>	0.11	0.00	1.69	4.50	5.44	6.56	4.81	5.00	1.72	1.75	1.75	1.28
<i>C. trifurcate</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.89	0.00	0.83	0.83	0.75
<i>D. koenigii</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.72	0.92	1.06	1.58

*B. tabaci*, *A. gossypii* and *A. biguttula* appeared from mid-June while *C. trifurcate* in August and *D. koenigii* in September. Some previous workers have also reported that *B. tabaci* appeared in mid-June and reached to highest no. in August (Abro et al. 2004; Hanumantharaya et al. 2008; Solangi et al. 2008 and Godhani et al. 2009). Hanumantharaya et al. (2008) and Godhani et al. (2009) had reported population of *A. gossypii* throughout the cotton growth period. But in the present study, *A. gossypii* continuously decreased from June till September. The overall mean of *A. biguttula* in the present research was 2.88/leaf which was comparable to those of Abro et al. (2004) and Solangi et al. (2008). They had reported that the mean maximum population of *A. biguttula* (3.93/plant) was found in last week of August. According to Biedermann (2005) and Nathan et al. (2006) mean and maximum density of *C. trifurcate* was 4.4 and 24.4 individuals/ m<sup>2</sup>, respectively, in the end of July/beginning of August. Our finding about *D. koenigii* are at par with the work of Sharma and Pampapathy (2006) who recorded the mean population of *D. koenigii* as 12.7/5 plants and 0.00/5 plants in unprotected and completely protected cotton variety L604, respectively while 1.0/5 plants and 0.00/5 plants in unprotected and completely protected cotton variety LK861, respectively.

### Population Dynamics of Natural Enemies on Cotton

*Septempunctata* appeared on cotton on 30<sup>th</sup> June. It disappeared in the following week but appeared again on 20<sup>th</sup> July. Its density gradually increased in the subsequent weeks and peaked (1.42 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 10<sup>th</sup> August. Density of *C. septempunctata* declined afterwards and disappeared on 9<sup>th</sup> October. *Dictyna* sp. appeared on 10<sup>th</sup> July. Its density increased in the subsequent weeks and reached to a maximum no. of 0.47 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 10<sup>th</sup> August. It disappeared from August 20 to 30, but appeared again afterwards. Density of the *Dictyna* sp. was highest from 9-29<sup>th</sup> Sept, and declined abruptly afterwards. *Invicta* was 1.22 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 20<sup>th</sup> June. Its density gradually decreased and disappeared on 10<sup>th</sup> August. It appeared again on 20<sup>th</sup> August and gradually increased in the subsequent three weeks to a maximum no. of 1.08 leaf<sup>-1</sup> on 9<sup>th</sup> Sept. Its population declined and remained low afterwards.

**Table-II Mean density of natural enemies/leaf on cotton during 2009.**

Natural enemies	20 <sup>th</sup>	30 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	20 <sup>th</sup>	30 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	20 <sup>th</sup>	30 <sup>th</sup>	9 <sup>th</sup>	19 <sup>th</sup>	29 <sup>th</sup>	9 <sup>th</sup>
	June	June	July	July	July	Aug	Aug	Aug	Sep	Sep	Sep	Oct
<i>Septempunctata</i>	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.28	0.36	1.42	0.33	0.36	0.00	0.14	0.06	0.00
<i>Dictyna</i> sp.	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.33	0.44	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.56	0.56	0.28
<i>S. invicta</i>	1.22	0.92	0.83	0.22	0.22	0.00	0.19	0.22	1.08	0.36	0.28	0.44

*Septempunctata* peaked in Aug, *Dictyna* sp. In Sept while *S. invicta* in June. Some earlier researchers had also found higher population of *Septempunctata* in August (Godhani *et al.* 2009) and *Dictyna* sp. in September. Dhaka and Pareek (2007) had reported maximum population of *Dictyna* sp in July. The present result of *S. invicta* are also comparable to those reported by Kaplan and Micky (2002) and Jennifer and Sharon (2010).

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In the present research work, *Tabaci* peaked (5.78 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 10<sup>th</sup> August, *Gossypii* (2.61 leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 20<sup>th</sup> June, *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* (6.56 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 10<sup>th</sup> August, *Cerotoma trifurcate* (0.89 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 30<sup>th</sup> August, *Dyesdercus koenigii* (0.72 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 9<sup>th</sup> September, *Septempunctata* (1.42 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 10<sup>th</sup> August, *Dictyna* sp.(0.56 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) on 19-29<sup>th</sup> Sept, *Invicta* ( 1.22 individuals leaf<sup>-1</sup>) early in season on 20<sup>th</sup> June. Future work should focus on correlation of natural enemies with the insect pests and effect of environmental conditions of the population abundance of pests and their natural enemies.

### REFERENCES

- Abro, G.H., T.S. Syed, G.M. Tunio and M.A. Khuhro. 2004. Performance of transgenic Bt cotton against insect pest infestation. *Biotech.* 3(1): 75-81.
- Biedermann, R. 2005. Incidence and population dynamics of the leaf beetle *gonioctena olivacea* in dynamic habitats. *Ecography.* 28: 673-681.
- Dhaka, S.R. and B.L. Pareek. 2007. Seasonal incidence of natural enemies of key insect pests of cotton and their relationship with weather parameters. *J. Plant Prot. Res.* 47(4): 418-419.
- Gahukar, R.T. 2006. Improving the conservation and effectiveness of arthropod parasitoids for cotton pest management. *Outlook on Agric.* 35(1): 41-49.
- Godhani, P.H., R.M. Patel, J.J. Jani, D.N. Yadav, D.M. Korat and B.H. Patel. 2009. Impact of habitat manipulation on insect pests and their natural enemies in hybrid cotton. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 22(1): 104-107.
- Hanumantharaya, L., K.B. Goud and L.K. Naik. 2008. Use of green lacewing, *chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) and Neem seed kernel extract for management of insect pests on cotton. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 21(1): 41-44.
- Jennifer, A.R. and Y.S. Sharon. 2010. A selection mosaic in the facultative mutualism between ants and wild cotton. <http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org>.
- Kaplan, I. and D.E. Micky. 2002. Disruption of cotton aphid *homoptera: aphididae* natural enemy dynamics by red imported fire ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Envir. Entomol.* 31(6):1175-1183.
- MINFAL. 2008. Agric. Statistics of Pakistan; Cash crops. Govt. of Pakistan, Ministry of Food, Agric. & Livest. (Econ. Wing), Islamabad. pp.29-30.
- Nathan, E.R., K. Yturralde and E.P. Dahlhoff. 2006. Role of contests in the scramble competition mating system of a leaf beetle. *J. Insect Behav.* 19(6): 699-716.
- Ozyigit, I.I., M.V. Kahraman and O. Ercan. 2007. Relation between explants age, total phenols and regeneration response in tissue cultured cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Afric. J. Biotech.* 6(1): 003-008.
- Sharma, H.C. and G. Pampapathy. 2006. Influence of transgenic cotton on the relative abundance and damage by target and non-target insect pests under different protection regimes in India. *Crop Prot.* 25 (8): 800-813.
- Solangi, G.S., G.M. Mahar and F.C. Oad. 2008. Presence and absence of different insect predators against sucking insect pest of cotton. *J. Entomol.* 5(1): 31-37.