

COMPOSITION AND ADULTERATION ANALYSIS OF MILK SAMPLES

Mohammad Ayub*, Quasid Ahmad*, Mohammad Abbas**, Ihsan Mabood Qazi*
and Iftikhar Alam Khattak**

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in the Dairy Technology Laboratory, National Agriculture Research Centre (NARC) Islamabad, Pakistan during 2005 to evaluate different buffalo milk samples of different dairy farms located in Chack Shehzad (S₁), Dhoke Chaudhery (S₂), Abphara (S₃), Margallah Town (S₄) and Satellite Town (S₅) of Islamabad and Rawalpindi. These samples were analyzed for composition and adulteration. Results showed that maximum fat, protein, ash and water contents were observed in samples S₁ (7.35%), S₃ (3.8%), S₁ (0.71%) and S₅ (84.8%), respectively. Minimum values of fat, protein, ash and water were observed in samples S₄ (6.98%), S₂ (3.50%), S₅ (0.60%) and S₁ (82.8%), respectively. Maximum total solids were recorded in sample S₁ (17.2%), while minimum in sample S₅ (15.2%). Our analysis showed that no adulterants were found in these samples. The statistical analysis showed that the fat, protein, water and ash contents of these milk samples collected from different areas were significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Keywords: Adulteration, Ash, Composition, Comparison, Fat, Milk, Proteins

INTRODUCTION

Milk is the best and cheapest source of nutrition and an article of daily diet, easily accepted and used by all the age groups in rural as well as in urban areas. It provides appreciable amount of fats and protein and also provides body building vitamins along with furnishing energy giving lactose and many other nutrients, therefore an ideal food for pregnant female and infants. Milk can provide a wide range of readily available nutrients to maintain health and normal growth of body. Milk has no pronounced taste what is slightly sweet to most persons. Any pronounced is abnormal. Freshly drawn milk has a characteristic, but not very pronounced, odor which is quite volatile and which practically disappears when the milk is exposed to the air (Eckels *et al.* 1951).

In Pakistan, milk is the largest and the single most important commodity within the livestock sector. Despite decades of neglect, Pakistan is the fifth largest milk producer in the world. The total milk production in Pakistan during the year 2005-06 is 31294.6 thousands tones (Econ. Surv. Pakistan, 2005-06).

Although slightly varies in composition and properties, the milk of different species contain the same constituents in general. On average, milk is made up of 87.4% water and 12.6% milk solids (3.7% fat, 8.9% milk solids-not-fat). The milk solids-not-fat contain protein (3.4%), lactose (4.8%), and minerals (0.7%) (Chandan, 1997).

Milk fat often called "butter fat" is commercially, the most valuable constituent of milk. It is also of great importance from the standpoint of the food value of the milk. The agreeable flavor of rich milk and to a large extent of other dairy products is largely due to the milk fat. Proteins are among the most complex of organic substances. They contain carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur and some times phosphorus. The protein of milk is not

a single compound but includes two major proteins and small quantities of others. Between them casein constitute about 80 % of the total and lacto albumin 18%. A third protein recognized as present in milk is lacto globulin. It is present in very small amounts, probably about 0.05 to 0.07 %. Ash constituents of milk are extremely important in their relation to the heat stability of the milk.

The adulteration of milk is banned due to the ill effects. Carbonate in milk produce gastrointestinal problems including gastric ulcer, diarrhea, colon ulcer and electrolytes disturbance (Beall and Scofield, 1995). The hydrogen peroxide disturbs the antioxidants in the body disturbing the natural immunity hence increasing aging (Clare *et al.* 2003). Chloride in the milk disturbs the acid base balance in the body and also blood pH (Hu and Murphy, 2004). Ammonia in milk develops regression, loss of acquired speech and sensory disturbances.

The aim of this study is to analyze the fresh milk samples for composition and adulteration. So that to aware the people of that area about its nutrition and ill effects on their health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Different fresh milk samples of buffalo were collected for analysis from the dairy farms of Islamabad and Rawalpindi region in the year 2005 and were brought to the Dairy Technology Laboratory NARC, Islamabad for analysis.

Fat

Milk fat was determined by Gerber method as described by Pearson (1976).

Protein

Protein was determined by kjeldahl method as reported in AOAC (2000).

Total solids, water and ash

The total solids, water and ash were determined by the method of AOAC (2000).

Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂) Detection

H₂O₂ was determined by using per oxide strips. The per oxide strips were dipped in 100 ml beaker, having milk samples, for one to two seconds. After 30 to 60 sec the color was compared with the color standard and readings were taken.

Carbonate and Bicarbonate Detection

For carbonate and bicarbonate 10 ml milk samples were taken in test tube and 10 ml 95 % ethyl alcohol were added. Five drops of rosolic acid (1% ethanol) were added and mixed well. Pinkish coloration after 15 to 45 minutes indicates presence of carbonate and bicarbonate.

Flour/ Starchy Material Detection

For detection of flour/starchy material 15 to 20 drops of milk was placed on watch glass. About one drop of iodine solution (0.1 N) was added mixed gently by rotation and allow standing. After one minute examine the bottom of the watch glass. If dark blue or black grains are present it is concluded that flour/ starch has been added.

Statistical Analysis

The results of various treatments were analyzed statistically by analysis of variance using Completely Randomized Design as recommended by Steel and Torrie (1980). The means were separated by least significant difference test (Cochran and Cox, 1965).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**Fat Content**

Lipids provide fifty percent calories of total caloric value obtained from milk. Our results showed that maximum fat was observed in sample S₁ (7.35%) followed by S₃ (7.30%), while minimum was observed in sample S₄ (6.98%) followed by S₅ (7.20%). The mean values of samples S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄ and S₅ were 7.35, 7.25, 7.30, 6.98 and 7.20 percent, respectively (Table I). This difference in percent fat content may be due to the difference in feeding, management practices, season and breed of the animals (Cervalhao, 2000). The standard of fat content in buffalo milk sample is about 7.45% (Webb *et al.* 1974).

Protein

The protein in milk, casein, is of high quality. It contains all the amino acids needed for body building and tissue repair (Krause and Mahan, 1984). Results showed that maximum protein content was observed in sample S₃ (3.80%) followed by S₄ (3.70%), while minimum was

observed in sample S₂ (3.50%) followed by S₁ (3.60%). The overall mean values were 3.60, 3.50, 3.80, 3.70 and 3.69 percent for S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄ and S₅, respectively (Table II). The protein content in milk differs from breeds to breeds and animals to animals (Joslyne and Heid, 1963). Our results showed that the protein content of these samples is similar to the standard reported in literature (Webb *et al.* 1974).

Ash

Maximum ash content was recorded in S₁ (0.71%) followed by S₃ (0.69%), while minimum was recorded in sample S₅ (0.60%) followed by S₄ (0.63%). The overall mean ash content of samples S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄ and S₅ were 0.71, 0.67, 0.69, 0.63 and 0.60 %, respectively (Table II). The amount of ash content in milk should be near to 0.78 % (Webb *et al.* 1974). In a similar study Nickerson (1960) observed that many factors influence the milk composition such as cattle breed, stage of lactation, environment, feeding and management practices. If the animals of same specie are given same environment, feed and management practices, the milk composition will be approximately the same.

Water

Results showed that maximum water content was recorded in sample S₅ (84.8%) followed by sample S₃ (84.6%), while minimum was recorded in sample S₁ (82.8%) followed by S₂ (83.1%). The mean water content for samples S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄ and S₅ were 82.8%, 83.1%, 84.6%, 84.5% and 84.8%, respectively (Table II). The difference in water content may be due to the difference in feeding and breed (Nickerson, 1960).

Total Solids

Total solids are one of the parameter used for the quality of milk. Our results showed that maximum total solids were recorded in sample S₁ (17.2%) followed by sample S₂ (16.9%), while minimum was recorded in sample S₅ (15.2%) followed by S₃ (15.4%) (Table II). These results showed that the amount of total solids recorded is similar to the range recorded in literature (Webb *et al.* 1974).

Adulteration

The collected milk samples were analyzed for various adulterants i.e. carbonate, flour and hydrogen peroxide. All the five samples evaluated at regular intervals showed no sign of the adulterants (Table III). This could be due to the ban on adulteration of milk.

CONCLUSION

The statistical analysis showed that the total solids, fat, protein, ash and water content of all the samples were significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from

each other. The collected samples were free from adulterants.

Table-I: Proposed plan of study for research

| Treatments | Collecting areas |
|----------------|---|
| S ₁ | Milk samples collected from Chak Shehzad (Islamabad) |
| S ₂ | Milk samples collected from Dhoke Chaudary (Rawalpindi) |
| S ₃ | Milk samples collected from Abphara (Islamabad) |
| S ₄ | Milk samples collected from Margallah Town (Islamabad) |
| S ₅ | Milk samples collected from Satellite Town (Rawalpindi) |

Table-II: The mean values (of three independent readings) for fat, protein, ash and water of different milk samples

| Samples → | S ₁ | S ₂ | S ₃ | S ₄ | S ₅ |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Fat (%) | 7.35 ^a | 7.25 ^{bc} | 7.30 ^{ab} | 6.98 ^d | 7.20 ^{bc} |
| Protein (%) | 3.60 ^b | 3.50 ^c | 3.80 ^a | 3.70 ^a | 3.69 ^b |
| Ash (%) | 0.71 ^a | 0.67 ^{ab} | 0.69 ^{ab} | 0.63 ^{bc} | 0.60 ^c |
| Water (%) | 82.8 ^d | 83.1 ^b | 84.6 ^{ab} | 84.5 ^{ab} | 84.8 ^a |
| Total Solids | 17.2 ^a | 16.9 ^{ab} | 15.4 ^{bc} | 15.5 ^b | 15.2 ^c |

Figures with different letters are significantly different from each other

Table-III: Adulteration in milk samples (three independent readings)

| Milk Sample | H ₂ O ₂ | Carbonate | Flour |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| S ₁ | -ve | -ve | -ve |
| S ₂ | -ve | -ve | -ve |
| S ₃ | -ve | -ve | -ve |
| S ₄ | -ve | -ve | -ve |
| S ₅ | -ve | -ve | -ve |

REFERENCES

- AOAC. 2000. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC Int'l 17th Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- Beall, D.P. and R.H. Scofield. 1995. Milk-alkali syndrome associated with calcium carbonate consumption: Reports of 7 patients with Parathyroid Hormone Levels and an estimate of prevalence among patients hospitalized with Hypercalcemia. *Medicine*. 74(2): 89-96.
- Cervalhao, M.P., M.P. Carbalho, M.C. Duraes, C.E. Martins, F. Deresz, J.R.F. Brito, A.F. Freitas, J.A.B. Portugal and C.N. Costa. 2000. Effect of nutrition on milk quality and composition. *Anais do 2o Minas Leite. Avanc tecnologicos para o aumento da produtividade leiteira*, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil, May 2000. *Anais do 2o Minas Leite. Avancos tecnologicos para o aumento da produtividade leiteira*. pp. 77-82.
- Chandan, R. 1997. Dairy-Based Ingredients. St. Paul, Minn.: Egan Press.
- Clare, D.A., G.L. Catignani and H.E. Swaisgood. 2003. Biodefence properties of milk: The role of antimicrobial proteins and peptides. *Current pharmaceutical design*. Bentham Sci. Publishers. 9(16): 1239-1255.
- Cochran, W.C. and G.M. Cox. 1965. Experimental design. John Willy and Sons, Inc. New York.
- Eckels, C.H., W.B. Combs and H. Macy. 1951. Milk and milk products 4th Ed. McGraw Hill Book Publishing Co. New York. USA. 51: 391-392.
- Economic Survey of Pakistan. 2005-06. Ministry of Food, Agriculture & Livestock (Livestock Wing), Govt. of Pakistan, Islamabad. pp. 22-23.
- Hu, W. and M.R. Murphy. 2004. Dietary Cation-Anion difference effects on performance and acid-base status of lactating dairy cows: A Meta-Analysis. *J. of Dairy Sci.* 87: 2222-2229.
- Joslyne, M.A. and Heid, J.L. (Eds) 1963. Food Processing Operations. Vol. I. The Avi Publishing Company, Inc. Westport, Connecticut. pp. 523.
- Krause, M.V., and L.K. Mahan. 1984. Food, Nutrition and Diet Therapy. W.B. Saunders Co. West Publishing Company, Philadelphia PA 19105.
- Nickerson, T.A. 1960. Nutritive value of milk and milk products. 27 (2): 312. Pearson, D. 1976. Chemical analysis of foods. Churchill Livingstone Edinburgh, London.

Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. Principle procedures of statistics. Mc Graw Hill Book Publishing Co. New York. U.S.A.

Webb, B.H., A.H. Johnson and J.A. Alford. 1974. Fundamentals of Dairy Chemistry. 3rd ed. Chapman & Hall, London, New York.